

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/730,117	MAKISHIMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> RICHARD M. BEMBEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2622	
<b>All Participants:</b>  (1) <u>RICHARD M. BEMBEN</u> .  (2) <u>Paul T. Sewell (Reg. No. 61,784)</u> .	<b>Status of Application:</b> <u>30</u>  (3) _____.  (4) _____.		
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>16 February 2010</u>	<b>Time:</b> <u>11AM EST</u>		
<b>Type of Interview:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
<b>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .			
<b>Part I.</b> Rejection(s) discussed:			
<b>Claims discussed:</b> <i>1, 6, 9 as numbered in the RCE filed 11 January 2010.</i>			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<b>Part II.</b> SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>			
<b>Part III.</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: There were actually two interviews spanning the time period of 28 January 2010 (examiner's initial contact) - 16 February 2010 (issues resolved). The first interview (agreement reached 2 February 2010) dealt with claims 1, 6, and 9 (as numbered in the RCE filed 11 January 2010). Claims 1 and 9 were amended to include the "to be printed" claim requirement and claim 6 was canceled due to redundancy with claim 1. The second interview (agreement reached 16 February 2010) dealt with claim 9. Claim 9 was amended to include the "when they are displayed at the same time on said display" claim requirement. Claim 9 was also amended to require the "digital image data" amendments to ensure antecedent basis throughout the claim. See the accompanying Allowance.